Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/717,603	CHANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Caleen O. Sullivan	1756
All Participants:	Status of Application:	·
(1) <u>Caleen O. Sullivan</u> .	(3)	
(2) Attorney Harold L. Novick.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 November 2006	Time: <u>11:30am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed:		•
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Attorney Harold L. Novick informed the examiner that the inventor corrected the bibliographic data sheet to reflect the correct inventor	rship listed in the declaration wa	
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare 	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)